UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,370	02/10/2004	Masafumi Mochizuki		9528
24956 7590 02/01/2007 MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			TUGBANG, ANTHONY D	
			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		$\chi \gamma$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/774,370	MOCHIZUKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	A. Dexter Tugbang	3729				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	1. the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 No.	ovember 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 12-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16,17 and 19-21 is/ar 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 12-15 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	e withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/046,973. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/774,370

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Amendment

1. The applicant(s) amendment filed on November 13, 2006 has been fully considered and made of record.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Election/Restrictions

3. Claims 16, 17 and 19 through 21 continue to stand as being withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on June 1, 2006.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: "method of' (line 3) should be replaced with --anyone of the following methods of--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3729

6. Claims 12, 13, 15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 3

In Claim 12, the phrases of "the trailing side" (line 5) and "the air bearing surface thereof" (lines 5-6), each lack positive antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. Claims 12, 13, 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mallary et al 5,805,392, Partee 6,081,408 and Takeura et al 4,807,073.

Mallary discloses a method for manufacturing at least a single pole type magnetic head (in Figs. 1 and 4) comprising: forming a groove (not labeled in Fig. 4) on an inorganic insulating layer (e.g. 15); forming a magnetic layer (e.g. 16) serving as a magnetic main pole in the groove; and forming a recess in the magnetic on a trailing side of an air bearing surface, where the recess is formed by ion milling (e.g. 480, col. 7, lines 32-50).

It is noted that the insulating layer (e.g. 15) of Mallary is formed of a material of alumina, i.e. aluminum oxide (col. 6, lines 32-35), as alumina is inherently an inorganic insulating material. As evidence of inherency that alumina is an inorganic insulating material, the examiner cites Takeura et al (col. 3, lines 24-25).

It is further noted that the recess of the magnetic layer (e.g. 16) of Mallary is inherently formed on a trailing side (left vertical surface of block 10 in Fig. 1) of an air bearing surface.

The air bearing surface is discussed by Mallary at col. 6, lines 7+. As evidence of inherency,

Partee shows in equivalent magnetic head (in Fig. 1) having a trailing side (e.g. 11, left vertical side of block 12) of an air bearing surface.

Regarding Claim(s) 18, Mallary (in Fig. 4) shows the magnetic layer (e.g. 16), after the recess has been formed, with a first horizontal line segment and a second angled line segment with the second angled line segments having at least one point (e.g. the intersection) closer to the first line segment than opposite ends of the second line segment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mallary et al in view of Cohen et al 5,326,429.

Mallary discloses the claimed manufacturing method as relied upon above in Claim 12. Mallary does not appear to mention that the groove formed in the inorganic insulating layer is formed by using a resist pattern on the insulating layer and then etching using the resist pattern as a mask.

Cohen shows that it is conventional to pattern an inorganic insulating layer of alumina (e.g. 40) by using a resist pattern (e.g. 42, 44, 46 in Fig. 3C) to etch a groove in the insulating layer (see sequence of Figs. 3C to 3D, col. 8, lines 8+).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the method of Mallary by utilizing the conventional resist and etching process of Cohen, to positively produce a fine patterned groove in the inorganic insulating layer of alumina.

Response to Arguments

9. The applicant(s) arguments filed on November 13, 2006 with respect to Claims 12 through 15 and 18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Dexter Tugbang whose telephone number is 571-272-4570. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,370

Art Unit: 3729

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A. Dexter Tugbang Primary Examiner Art Unit 3729

January 26, 2007